

# Natural Hazards

## Assessment of extreme weather events on transport networks: Case study of the 2007 wildfires in Peloponnesus --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	NHAZ-D-13-00189R3
<b>Full Title:</b>	Assessment of extreme weather events on transport networks: Case study of the 2007 wildfires in Peloponnesus
<b>Article Type:</b>	SI : Transportation
<b>Keywords:</b>	Extreme weather events impacts, transport networks, Peloponnesus 2007 wildfires
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Evangelos Mitsakis, PhD Centre for Research and Technology Hellas Thermi-Thessaloniki, GREECE
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Centre for Research and Technology Hellas
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Evangelos Mitsakis, PhD
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Evangelos Mitsakis, PhD Iraklis Stamos, M.Sc. Anestis Papanikolaou, M.Sc. Georgia Aifadopoulou, Dr. Haris Kontoes, Dr.
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Abstract: This paper deals with the 2007 wildfires that hit Peloponnesus, the southern peninsula of Greece, presenting an overview of the impacts in terms of infrastructural damages and human injuries and losses. Network performance and components' criticality analyses are used to assess the effects of the fires in vehicular traffic and the overall transport network. The crisis and emergency management of the event are discussed in depth, highlighting potential gaps and possibilities for future improvement. The paper concludes with a presentation of the adaptation measures that succeeded the event in terms of recovery plans, national efforts on fire prevention programs and wildfire management.</p>
<b>Response to Reviewers:</b>	<p>Reviewer #3: The revised manuscript is improved and steps have been taken to address previous comments. The manuscript overall has better focus and is appropriately structured. However, some of my previous comments remain valid:</p> <p>1) Although some steps have been made to explain how the case study links to climate change the primary thrust of the work remains as a case study in terms of emergency effects and not of climate change impact. For example no risk analysis of the likelihood of such events now and in the future is made. I do not suggest that this element is required for publication but I do suggest that the "climate change impacts" part of the title is inappropriate.</p> <p>Authors Response: The "climate change impacts" part of the title has been removed according to the reviewer's comments.</p> <p>2) The quality of the English in the manuscript is improved but there are still elements of poor English in the manuscript.</p>

Authors Response: The paper has been read and corrected by a native English speaking person.

3) The conclusions still appear to develop new information that has not previously been discussed properly in the manuscript - e.g. decision support systems.

Authors Response: The conclusions section has been reformulated and information that has not been discussed in the manuscript has been removed from this section

# Assessment of extreme weather events on transport networks: Case study of the 2007 wildfires in Peloponnesus

---

Evangelos Mitsakis, Iraklis Stamos, Anestis Papanikolaou, Georgia Aifadopoulou, Haris Kontoes

*Centre for Research and Technology Hellas – Hellenic Institute of Transport,  
Address: 6th km Charilaou-Thermi Rd., 57001, Themi-Thessaloniki, Greece*

Tel: +302310498459, Fax: +302310498269, Email: [emit@certh.gr](mailto:emit@certh.gr)

**Abstract:** This paper deals with the 2007 wildfires that hit Peloponnesus, the southern peninsula of Greece, presenting an overview of the impacts in terms of infrastructural damages and human injuries and losses. Network performance and components' criticality analyses are used to assess the effects of the fires in vehicular traffic and the overall transport network. The crisis and emergency management of the event are discussed in depth, highlighting potential gaps and possibilities for future improvement. The paper concludes with a presentation of the adaptation measures that succeeded the event in terms of recovery plans, national efforts on fire prevention programs and wildfire management.

## *Keywords*

*Extreme weather events impacts, transport networks, Peloponnesus 2007 wildfires*

## Introduction

The increasing frequency of extreme weather events due to climate change has been widely studied in the literature (Rosenzweig et al., 2001; Monuril and Mirza, 2003). In addition, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change identified that major impacts of climate change are quite likely to occur “*via changes in the magnitude and frequency of extreme events, which trigger a natural disaster or emergency*” (IPCC, 2007a, b). Most of these studies focus on the detailed statistical analyses of trends in extreme weather and climate events based on yearlong observations (Meehl et al., 2000; Easterling et al., 2000). They stated that the impacts of climate change might become more severe in the coming years due to the frequency of extreme weather events rather than the overall change of the “average” climate. Possible extreme weather events include wind gusts, snowfall, blizzard, heavy precipitation, heat waves and cold waves among others.

During the 2007 summer, extremely high temperatures were recorded in Greece, particularly during August. The country was hit by three consecutive heat waves (46°C) which, along with the strong winds and the low relative humidity (9%), resulted in forest fires breaking out. The region of Peloponnesus in the southern part of the country was mostly affected, especially between the 24<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of August. The toll on human losses, natural disasters and infrastructure damages was heavy during the peak period of the event. According to the European Space Agency (ESA), Greece has experienced more wildfire activity during the summer of 2007 than other European countries have over the last decade (ESA, 2007). In total, over 8933 fires have been recorded in the country following the third heat wave the country had experienced in that period (EFFIS, 2007). The mountainous southern peninsula of Peloponnesus was the worst affected region. The strong winds and the lack of precipitation in the area further increased the intensity of the blazes and supported the propagation of the event.

The aim of the paper is to present an overview of the wildfires’ impacts in terms of infrastructural damages and human injuries and losses and to assess the wildfires’ effects in the transport sector in terms of network performance. In addition, the crisis and emergency management of the event is discussed, highlighting potential gaps and possibilities for future improvement. Finally, the paper examines the adaptation

measures that succeeded the event in terms of recovery plans, national efforts on fire prevention programs and wildfire management.

## Case study description

Peloponnesus is located in southern Greece and comprises 7 prefectures. Figure 1 highlights their location in the national context. The most populated prefecture within the Peloponnese region is that of Achaia, with 331.316 people, while the region's total population is close to 1.165.147, within a total area of 21.386 km<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 1: Location of Peloponnesus in Greece

Table 1 summarizes the findings of the annual European Forest Fire Information System (EFFIS) report with regard to the number of fires and the affected area in Greece. EFFIS reports that 1.477 fires broke out in the Peloponnese region in 2007, burning 10.196 km<sup>2</sup> of land, 6.633 km<sup>2</sup> of which were protected forests and natural areas (EFFIS, 2007) and killing thousands of animals (WWF, 2007).

Table 1: Number of fires and total burnt area (km<sup>2</sup>) during the summer of 2007 (EFIS, 2007)

	<b>Total number of fires</b>	<b>Total burnt area (km<sup>2</sup>)</b>	<b>Wooded burnt area (km<sup>2</sup>)</b>
<b>Region of Peloponnese</b>	1477	10196	6633
<b>Other Regions</b>	7446	211860	129930

Figure 2 depicts the percentage of total burnt area per prefecture within the Peloponnese region. The prefecture of Ileia was affected the most during the 2007 wildfires.



Figure 2: Percentage of burnt area in the Peloponnese region

The most severe fires broke out on August 24<sup>th</sup> and expanded rapidly until they were put out in early September. During the 2007 summer period, 68 people were killed, while another 2094 people were injured (Statheropoulos, 2008). Table 2 provides detailed information on the number of patients admitted in Peloponnese hospitals and medical centers and the respective cause of admission.

Table 2: Health impacts in the Peloponnese region during the summer of 2007 (adapted from Statheropoulos, 2008)

Date	Respiratory Problems	Ocular Problems	Burnings	Cardio-pulmonary problems	Number of patients	Deaths
17/08	20	2	3	6	50	0
18/08	19	4	1	13	45	0
19/08	17	4	2	16	59	2
20/08	26	5	1	19	74	0
21/08	29	3	2	18	70	0

<b>22/08</b>	18	2	3	25	72	0
<b>23/08</b>	28	3	3	15	92	0
<b>24/08</b>	52	9	14	14	115	0
<b>25/08</b>	149	68	28	8	285	45
<b>26/08</b>	79	64	15	14	199	1
<b>27/08</b>	76	21	14	18	159	7
<b>28/08</b>	50	15	8	18	115	0
<b>29/08</b>	21	4	6	18	70	1
<b>30/08</b>	34	4	6	18	81	0
<b>31/08</b>	30	5	5	11	80	1
<b>1/09</b>	17	6	9	14	67	0
<b>2/09</b>	14	4	0	14	38	0
<b>3/09</b>	21	1	4	12	63	0
<b>4/09</b>	18	5	4	11	60	1
<b>5/09</b>	26	2	5	20	72	1
<b>6/09</b>	16	3	1	13	50	0
<b>7/09</b>	10	0	2	13	35	0
<b>8/09</b>	10	1	2	9	38	1
<b>9/09</b>	17	0	1	16	45	1
<b>10/09</b>	18	5	0	14	60	0

Six months after the events, a study was conducted by the Greek National School of Public Health which included random participants living in the affected and neighbouring villages and concerned the subjective perception of their health status. The study revealed that both groups considered their health status better in the previous year and that the “fire” group experienced higher psychological distress thereafter (Papanikolaou et al., 2011).

Apart from the health sector, the impacts on residences and other infrastructure were also severe. A total of 847 residences were destroyed by fires in Greece, the majority of which were located in Peloponnesus. Table 3 provides aggregate information regarding different types of infrastructure that were burned during the summer of 2007.

Table 3: Burnt infrastructure during the summer of 2007 (Statheropoulos, 2008)

		Residences		Public Infrastructure		Other	
<b>Region</b>	Prefectures	Total Damage	Partial Damage	Total Damage	Partial Damage	Total Damage	Partial Damage
<b>Region of Peloponnesus</b>	Arkadia	185	110	6	3	157	171
	Korinthos	3	0	1	0	10	5
	Ileia	524	238	30	12	498	233
	Lakonia	8	33	0	0	90	32
	Achaia	193	62	4	0	132	145
	Messinia	95	40	4	2	33	8
<b>Total region of Peloponnesus</b>		<b>1008</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>594</b>
<b>Other regions</b>	Evia	31	245	0	2	29	95
	Etoloakarnania	1	2	0	0	0	0
	Attica	0	4	0	0	0	0
<b>National Total</b>		<b>847</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>544</b>

Concerning the economic impacts of the fires, no cost tool was available for its calculation. The estimation for the cost of the damages for the 500000 people affected was close to 3 billion Euros according to European sources (Davidson, 2007), while other moderate estimations have found it to be close to 2,2 billion US Dollars (USAID, 2007). The overall operational costs were estimated at 600000 Euros (Michaletos, 2008) as 20% of the country's olive trees were located within the affected Peloponnese region, with the area representing 4,5% of the nation's annual GDP (Davidson, 2007). In addition to the direct costs of the events, the cultural tourism sector was also hit, since the blazes reached the proximity of the Ancient Olympia and affected a series of accommodation units (Tatoulis, 2011).

## **Transport Sector Impacts**

The importance of assessing the impacts of climate change on transport networks has been discussed by various researchers (Koetse and Rietveld, 2009, Mitsakis et al., 2013). This has also been recognised at a national level by the study on the environmental, financial and social impacts of climate change in Greece by the Bank of Greece (Bank of Greece, 2011), which dedicated a section to the assessment of the impacts on Greece's transport networks (Giannopoulos et al., 2011). The study focused primarily on identifying the vulnerability of the transport system, the estimation of the demand for transport, the quantification of adaptation costs associated with various climatic scenarios and the formulation of measures and transport policies for coping with climate change related impacts on transportation networks and modes.

In the next section, the impacts of the wildfires on the transport network of Peloponnese are assessed.


### **Network and Model Description**

The network used for the purpose of this paper consists of a detailed representation of the urban and regional road network of Peloponnesus, based on open-source GIS, fused with traffic parameters. The network consists of 178734 directed road links and 70137 nodes. The road links contain information about the number of lanes, the road type and its hierarchy in the network, width, length, free flow speed, design capacity,

direction and allowed transport systems. The nodes contain detailed information about the junctions' geometry, allowed movements and control type of the node. The network consists of 164 traffic analysis zones, the centroids of which are connected to physical nodes of the road network via 1334 connectors, according to their accessibility index (Friedrich & Galster, 2009). In order to assess the impacts of the wildfires in the transport sector, a transportation model has been developed for the region of Peloponnesus, with transport planning software (Friedrich, 1999). The demand side is comprised of 24 hourly Origin-Destination (OD) matrices for the days of the wildfires (24/08-28/08), developed within the European Project "Transtools" (Transtools, 2005). The obtained OD matrices are corrected using hourly traffic counts from 76 locations across the Peloponnese region, during August 2008. The OD matrix correction is performed with a fuzzy-set based matrix correction procedure (Rosinowski, 1994). The upper level user equilibrium traffic flow estimation, known as the Traffic Assignment Problem, based on Wardrop's user equilibrium principle (Wardrop, 1952), is solved with an implementation of the Linear User Cost Equilibrium algorithm (Gentile & Noekel, 2010), with an average goodness-of-fit of 0.91.

## **Impacts**

The vehicular traffic was most affected during the fire events of summer 2007. While fires mostly destroyed forests and farmland areas, they significantly influenced traffic circulation due to various link closures and affected the operability and functionality of the national and local road network. Between the 24<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of August, the event peaked in terms of severity and seriously affected the rescue services. Figure 3 depicts the hourly propagation of the event in terms of closed road network in kilometers.



**Figure 3: Length of closed road network (in kilometers) per hour during the 24<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> August.**

During the 25th of August the fires rendered 1054 km of road network out of use, with the events and their impacts on the road network gradually declining afterwards. Figures 4 to 7 visually present the progress of the road network closures in 4-hour intervals for the period between the 24<sup>th</sup> and the 27<sup>th</sup> of August, 2007, based on satellite earth observation data (Maurer et al., 2012).









Figure 4: Fires propagation during the 24<sup>th</sup> of August (Mauer et al., 2012)









Figure 5: Fires propagation during the 25<sup>th</sup> of August (Mauer et al., 2012)









Figure 6: Fires propagation during the 26<sup>th</sup> of August (Mauer et al., 2012)









Figure 7: Fires propagation during the 27<sup>th</sup> of August (Mauer et al., 2012)

Figure 8 depicts the occurrence rate of each closed link of the road network for the above mentioned four day period. Since the satellite earth observations of the events were recorded and updated in hourly intervals, each occurrence value represents the hourly duration each link was closed for.




Figure 8: Hourly link closures for the period between the 24<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of August 2007

Figure 9 depicts the efficiency of each road network link that closed during 8 and 9 pm on the 26th of August. The methodology for obtaining the efficiency of each link is based on Nagurney's Unified Network Performance Measure (Nagurney, 2008), and deals with the identification of the most important links related to the efficiency within a transport network.

The steps of Nagurney's methodology are the following:

- Step 1: The Origin-Destination demand matrices are assigned on the road network
- Step 2: Network efficiency is computed:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon(G, d) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \frac{d_w}{\lambda_w}}{n_W} \quad (1)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  denotes unified network performance measure,  $G$  denotes the network topology (links, nodes),  $d$  denotes the demand vector (O-D pairs),  $W$  denotes the set

of O-D pairs,  $d_w$  denotes the demand of O-D pair  $w$ ,  $\lambda_w$  denotes the disutility of O-D pair  $w$  (travel time) and  $n_W$  denotes the number of O-D pairs for  $G$

- Step 3: One link of the network is removed and  $\varepsilon$  is computed again. Iteratively, this process is repeated for each link of the network in order to compute  $\varepsilon$  for each removed link.
- Step 4: The importance of each network component (link) is computed:

$$I(g) = \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon(G, d) - \varepsilon(G - g, d)}{\varepsilon(G, d)} \quad (2)$$

where  $G - g$  is the resulting network after component  $g$  (link) is removed from network  $G$ . This criticality index for each link ( $I$ ) represents the difference of the network's efficiency after the link(s) removal in relation to the initial (normal) condition of the network.

This exercise was conducted at the local level of the road network, in order to determine the impact of the closed links and their effect on the overall traffic circulation. The higher the efficiency values of the closed link, the more severe the effect it has on the network.




Figure 9: Link efficiency in the local network of Peloponnese

A similar analysis has been conducted for the national road network of southern Peloponnese, in an effort to identify the importance of each link and the extent to

which the network would have been affected, had it been closed due to extreme circumstances. The outcomes are presented in Figure 10.




Figure 10: Link efficiency in the national road network of Peloponnesus

These analyses are important at a planning level, as they provide authorities with a tool that identifies the network components (road links) whose operation has to remain uninfluenced, especially in cases of extreme weather events. As such, this tool can assist public authorities in preventing or limiting the negative impacts attributed to road closures, by ensuring traffic circulation through the identified critical road links.

## **Crisis and emergency management**

The actors involved in response to the fires that occurred in the summer of 2007 have been:

- the Greek State
- the European Joint Civil Protection Mechanism
- the Greek Forest Service
- the Fire Brigade
- the local forest officers
- the General Secretariat of Forests and Natural Environment
- the Hellenic Air force
- the Army
- the volunteers
- the Police

The communication between all respective actors and emergency responders was conducted through hand-held and on-board vehicle radios, and cell phones.

### **Ground and aerial means**

The fires' intensity and the need for intervention by the Fire Brigade did not allow fire crews to keep track of and record the beginning of the majority of the fires, beyond those that occurred during the first day. As stated in Ziropiannis report (Ziropiannis, 2009), the Fire Department records of the capital city of Ileia's prefecture only reported the 6 initial blazes of the first day. After those initial fires, the Fire Brigade could not record the occurrence of the fires that followed. As a result, when the event propagated in space and time, reaching more inhabited locations, fire fighters would respond based on the level of threat perceived (Ziropiannis, 2009). According to the same report, which included field interviews of civilians and authorities, the officers in charge of the fire stations in Ileia's prefecture received hundreds of calls pleading for assistance during the 4-day period. Based on the capacity of the prefecture's fire department in personnel and infrastructure, as well as the fact that approximately 60% of the villages in Ileia were hit by fires, firefighting personnel was inadequate during this period (JCICCH, 2010). Approximately 300 fire fighters equipped with 60 vehicles were responsible for handling the majority of the cases. Moreover, the four

aircrafts destined to aid the operations, although initially stationed in the airport of Andravida (within Ileia's prefecture), had to assist the fire fronts in other prefectures during the same 4-day period. Ileia's ground fire fighting forces were reinforced both by other Greek fire fighters and foreign volunteers. However, this help often proved to be fruitless since fire fighters originating from other places than the prefecture itself could not significantly contribute to the suppression efforts. This was the case because these non-local fire fighters were not acquainted with the local terrain, the landscape and the prevailing conditions in the area (winds, etc.). For this reason, they were often reluctant to drive fire trucks within the local forest as they did not know whether this could lead to a dead-end. In addition, their efforts were hindered by the lack of knowledge concerning the exact location of water refuelling points. As suggested in the case of fires' suppression, the disposal of information on such factors is a matter of experience (acquired from local fire crews working in the area for extended time periods) and its importance is decisive in the success of the suppression efforts. After the incident in Artemida, where 9 people lost their lives due to a road accident involving a fire truck and evacuation traffic, resulting to the road being blocked and people being trapped, the main concern of the authorities was to protect human lives and residences. According to Ziropiannis, it was often the case that a fire truck would arrive at a threatened location with orders to stay in the most central point and operate only if fires reached the houses of the area. This fact restricted the potential contribution of a fire crew in the suppression of the fires in the forests and farmland fields (Ziropiannis, 2009).

### **National and international assistance**

The total personnel of the Fire Brigade are about 15000, 9500 of which is permanent personnel, dealing also with the structural fires and 5500 is the seasonally hired personnel just for the forest fires (EFFIS, 2007). The Fire Brigade of Greece owns 1525 fire trucks, which are involved in structural and forest fire suppression efforts. In the summer of 2007 in Peloponnesus, the suppression efforts were also supported by trucks and engines owned by the affected municipalities (considerably small number) and approximately 1000 soldiers, 200 volunteers of the fire services and hundreds of volunteers and active citizens, while another 402 specified personnel and 32 engines contributed as international aid. In addition, 41 state-owned aircrafts and 16 helicopters were used to assist the operation, while another 19 helicopters and 1

airplane were hired for that purpose (EFFIS, 2007; Kapakis, 2007). The firefighting fleet of Greece was reinforced by a total of 24 airplanes and 16 helicopters that were offered in the form of assistance by several countries (mainly European). Table 4 summarizes the international aid that assisted the Greek efforts concerning the fire fronts in Peloponnesus.

Table 4: International assistance by country (EFFIS, 2007)

Country	Date of Arrival	Personnel	Fire trucks - Engines	Aircrafts	Helicopters
Albania	28/8/2007	4	1		
Austria	27/8/2000			3	2
Bulgaria	31/8/2007	45	5		
Croatia	29/8/2007			1	
Cyprus	26/8/2007	59	6		
Cyprus	27/8/2007	72	8		
France	26/8/2007	64		4	
France	29/8/2007	8			
Germany	28/8/2007			1	3
Hungary	27/8/2007	19	5		
Israel	27/8/2007	60			
Italy	25/8/2007			1	
Netherlands	27/8/2007				3
Norway	28/8/2007				1
Portugal	28/8/2007			1	
Romania	27/8/2007				1
Russia	30/8/2007			1	
Serbia	30/8/2007	55	7	7	
Slovenia	26/8/2007				1
Spain	26/8/2007			4	
Sweden	30/8/2007				1
Switzerland	27/8/2007				1
Turkey	27/8/2007			1	
International volunteers	27/8/2007	7			

## Protection measures

In 2003, the Greek Government published the “General Plan for Civil Protection” in an effort to form a system that will efficiently deal with extreme natural phenomena and provide the necessary guidelines for civilians in order to ensure their health,

safety and property during the occurrence of such events. In more detail, the civil protection plan, entitled “Xenokratis”, clearly identifies all participating parties, bodies, authorities and actors that are responsible for managing and coordinating response operations on all levels (national, local). It furthermore grants these entities with crucial data, information and tools so that they can accurately assess and evaluate the impacts of dangerous phenomena, identify endangered areas and develop actions plans for dealing with such events. Finally, it provides the directives for the development of mitigation plans and strategies and the guidelines for the organization and cooperation of the human forces (Isokratis, 2011). However, “Xenokratis” did not include any detailed guidelines on specific procedures for managing wild/forest fires (sequence of actions, standard operating procedures, etc.). Although “Xenokratis” clearly assigned the implementation of fire prevention and mitigation measures and the development of specified evacuation and action plans to the local level, prefectures and municipalities did not manage to apply the pre-developed plans during the events of August, 2007. In the cases where the action plans were not used, it was either attributed to negligence and panic or to lack of experience on behalf of the responsible actors. For this reason, they now face penalty sentences from the Greek Government which purports that when the General Secretariat for Civil Protection ordered the urgent activation of the “Xenokratis” mechanism (a day before the fires reached Ileia’s prefecture), the authorities failed to comply (Nodaros, 2011).

### **Evacuation orders**

The Greek General Secretariat of Civil Protection suggests that civilians living in forested areas should not evacuate their residences unless their evacuation route is guaranteed (General Secretariat of Civil Protection, 2007). It is however noteworthy, that after the 2007 wildfires, the responsibility for evacuation was given to Mayors, as an effort to maximize the positive outcomes in case of such events. However, the basic strategy during the initial stage of the wildfires was to order the evacuation of settlements in general. Among the initial fires that occurred on the 24<sup>th</sup> of August, the one started in Artemida village led the locals to evacuate their houses. During the evacuation, two different routes were followed. The evacuees that followed the route leading to the village of Zaharo (located at 1km distance in the proximity of Artemida), were trapped due to a car accident that blocked the road. As reported by Nodaros, a fire truck heading to Artemida crashed against incoming traffic. That

accident blocked the road and trapped the people trying to evacuate. The fire that soon reached the location of the accident burnt 9 people of which 4 were children (Nodaros, 2007). This incident was of central importance in the course of the 4-day period, since it formed the way the authorities (the Police and the Fire Department) would react afterwards. After this incident, whenever a fire was approaching inhabited locations, the authorities would order the evacuation of the area as soon as possible under the fear of a higher death toll (Zirogiannis, 2009). However, according to fire scientists, the authorities should have retained the services of capable villagers who could provide significant assistance to the efforts of fire suppression (Xanthopoulos, 2007b). Table 5 presents the percentage of settlements in Ileia that were ordered to evacuate. Evacuation orders were given for 89% and 91% of the villages during days 1 and 2 respectively, while that percentage dropped to approximately 50% for the following days.

Table 5: Evacuation orders by day for the villages of Ileia (adapted from Zirogiannis, 2009)

	<b>Evacuation orders by day</b>	
<b>Date</b>	<b>No order</b>	<b>Evacuation ordered</b>
<b>24/8</b>	11%	89%
<b>25/8</b>	9%	91%
<b>26/8</b>	47%	53%
<b>27/8</b>	50%	50%

### **Volunteer participation**

As the event continued, it became evident to the authorities that local residents could significantly contribute in the fire suppression efforts. Given the equipment they had (small agricultural trucks that were transformed to water tanks) and their former experience in dealing with local fires, they proved to be an important fire fighting force. Following the Zirogiannis field survey, Table 6 summarizes the day the fire reached the villages of Ileia's prefecture, the existence of evacuation orders and the level of participation in fire suppression by the local residents. Regarding the latter parameter, following factors were taken into consideration for the classification of the "low", "medium" and "high" categories:

- Number of people assisting in the operations

- Age of people assisting in the operations
- Available equipment (farm tractors, water sprinkler mechanisms, etc.)
- Effectiveness of the effort

Table 6: Volunteers' participation and evacuation order for the Ileia villages (adapted from Zirotiannis, 2009)

<b>Villages</b>	<b>Volunteers' participation</b>	<b>Fire start date</b>	<b>Ordered evacuation</b>	<b>Villages</b>	<b>Volunteers' participation</b>	<b>Fire start date</b>	<b>Ordered evacuation</b>
<b>AgioiApostoloi</b>	medium	25/8	x	<b>Miraka</b>	medium	26/8	x
<b>AgiosGeorgios</b>	high	26/8		<b>Mouzaki</b>	high	25/8	x
<b>Ambelonas</b>	medium	25/8	x	<b>Oleni</b>	high	25/8	x
<b>Anilio</b>	low	24/8	x	<b>Palaiovarvasaina</b>	high	25/8	
<b>Diasella</b>	high	25/8		<b>Parapougi</b>	medium	25/8	x
<b>Eleonas</b>	medium	25/8	x	<b>Pelopio</b>	high	26/8	
<b>Fanari</b>	medium	25/8		<b>Platanos</b>	medium	26/8	x
<b>Frixa</b>	medium	27/8	x	<b>Platiana</b>	medium	25/8	x
<b>Graikas</b>	medium	25/8	x	<b>Ploutoxori</b>	high	27/8	
<b>Irakleia</b>	high	25/8	x	<b>Pournari</b>	low	25/8	x
<b>Kafkania</b>	medium	26/8	x	<b>Skiloudia</b>	high	26/8	
<b>Kalivakia</b>	high	26/8		<b>Smerna</b>	low	24/8	x
<b>Karatoulas</b>	medium	25/8	x	<b>Sopi</b>	high	25/8	x
<b>Kladeos</b>	high	26/8	x	<b>Sxinoi</b>	low	24/8	x
<b>Koliri</b>	medium	26/8		<b>Tripiti</b>	medium	25/8	x
<b>Korifi</b>	high	25/8	x	<b>Varvasaina</b>	medium	26/8	x
<b>Koskinas</b>	low	26/8		<b>Velanidi</b>	low	25/8	x
<b>Koutsoxera</b>	high	25/8	x	<b>Vresto</b>	low	24/8	x
<b>Lambeti</b>	high	26/8		<b>Vroxitsa</b>	medium	25/8	x
<b>Lanthoi</b>	high	26/8	x	<b>Xaria</b>	high	26/8	x
<b>Lantzoi</b>	medium	25/8	x	<b>Xeimadio</b>	high	25/8	x
<b>Livadaki</b>	low	24/8	x	<b>Xelidoni</b>	high	25/8	x
<b>Magairas</b>	high	26/8	x	<b>Xirohori</b>	low	24/8	x
<b>Makistos</b>	low	24/8	x	<b>Zaharo</b>	medium	24/8	

## **Closure of unsafe routes**

Shortly after the fires broke out, gradual closures of parts of the road network that were characterized as unsafe were observed. Closures of specific parts of the network occurred either after police orders, based on information about the proximity of the fires to inhabited villages, or due to the fires themselves that affected parts of the road network (Kapakis, 2009). Traffic management measures were also applied, in order for people to be able to evacuate, while the authorities ordered the detouring of trips destined to unsafe locations (Michaletos, 2007). The temporal character of all measures applied during the 4-day summer period depended on the severity of the event in the respective area.

## **Adaptation measures**

### **Fire prevention: staff and programs**

Wildfire management consists of three stages: prevention, suppression and restoration. Until 1997, the Greek Forest Service has been entirely responsible for wildfire management. However in 1998, the suppression responsibilities were given to the Fire Brigade, leaving the Greek Forest Service understaffed and poorly funded by Greek authorities, resulting in the rather compromised ability of the Forest Service to effectively carry out fire prevention operations (Georgopoulou, 2007). Nevertheless, as of 2008, the Greek State extended the contracts of the seasonal firefighting personnel from 6 to 8 months (April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 31<sup>st</sup>), in an effort to increase the staff dealing with fire prevention (General Secretariat for Civil Protection, 2011). In addition, utilizing the 2007 funding provided by the US Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance, a series of useful fire prevention training programs and technical assistance programs have been made available to Greece. After the 2007 experience, the country's sensibility regarding fire issues increased significantly (Ministry of Public Administrative Reform and e-Government, 2011). As a result, the Greek Government published a revised version of the "Xenokratis" Civil Protection plan during July 2011 that specifically concentrates on the forest fires issue. Among others revisions, it identifies the Public

Electricity Company and the Hellenic Transmission System Operator as responsible actors in wildfire management (General Secretariat for Civil Protection, 2011). Furthermore, a lot of municipalities throughout the country currently offer fire prevention and suppression guidelines on their websites, while as of 2011, no traffic circulation and camping is allowed in national parks, forests and endangered areas in the region of Peloponnesus (Ministry of Public Administrative Reform and e-Government, 2011). However, as of today (2013), no “Lessons Learned Guidebook” has been published by the state authorities with things to avoid, best practices and recommendations in case of such extreme weather related events. Finally, evacuation and action plans have been developed by a series of municipalities, which include the allocation of shelter centers that can accommodate civilians during emergency situations, including suggestions of safest possible evacuation routes.

### **Forest monitoring and mapping**

Forest monitoring and mapping that could prevent and contain wildfires has been at the crux of the agenda of the Greek State since the 2007 fires. Previously, the Forest Department was under the Ministry of Rural Development and Food. After its move to the new Ministry of Environment, Energy, and Climate Change however, the Minister has dealt with the issue of illegal construction in forests, proposing a bill during 2009 that would postpone any construction on burnt forestland across Greece until specified inspectors establish accurate forest maps.

### **Communication, integration, organization**

Today, a lack of urgency and senior-level attention still exists and communication regarding the potential outbreak of large scale wildfires and how to combat them remains inconsistent. During the fire season of 2007, assistance and funding was provided by several nations. However, Greece was not sufficiently prepared to facilitate or direct such foreign assistance efforts. Integration and coordination between relevant actors is still considered as inadequate. In addition, efforts to finance early warning systems or elements of Decision Support Systems in Prefectures affected by forest fires led to systems that do not communicate with each other.

## **Wildfire management**

Following the 2007 fires, USAID's Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) funded a cooperative effort to exchange data, information and details in wildfire management (USAID, 2007). The majority of these funds have been used to address immediate needs after the end of the 2007 fires, as well as on technical assistance and infrastructure building in the two subsequent years. More recent efforts have focused on training exercises and included a visit by members of the Greek Forest Service to the USA in 2009.

## **Recovery plans**

Soon after the fire events in the region of Peloponnesus, the Agricultural University of Athens conducted a detailed rehabilitation and development study for the agricultural sector, the forests and the environmental protection of the regions that were affected. In their study, they conclude that given all respective actions that have to be taken in order for the Peloponnese region to fully overcome the damages and losses of 2007, a total of 597 million Euros is needed. Table 7 presents the proposed budget allocation per prefecture, including rehabilitation and restoration of the environmental, production, processing and infrastructure sectors (AUA, 2007).

Table 7: Recovery plans' budget allocation for the Peloponnese region (AUA, 2007)

Actions	Perfectures						Total
	Achaia	Korinthia	Ileia	Arkadia	Lakonia	Messinia	
Environment							
Erosion Works	12,34	1,95	45,62	23,73	9,50	8,72	<b>101,86</b>
Protection of Water Resources	4,35	2,53	30,06	13,27	6,16	6,04	<b>62,41</b>
Restoration and Protection of Forests	14,7	4,35	23	27	23,2	23	<b>115,25</b>
Production System							
Rehabilitation of damages in oil production	6,06	2,64	81,96	8,14	8,61	9,43	<b>116,84</b>
Rehabilitation of damages in wine production	15,5	3,67	28,90	1,81	0,16	1,55	<b>51,59</b>
Rehabilitation of damages in trees' production	0,19	0,01	1,48	1,2	0,05	0,66	<b>3,59</b>
Rehabilitation of damages in bees production	0,27	0,15	1,41	1	0,53	0,45	<b>3,81</b>
Rehabilitation of damages in animals production	2,33	0,54	60,91	9,2	5,51	7,03	<b>85,52</b>
Processing and Services							
Rehabilitation of damages in processing	2,9	0,29	1,68	0,56	0,51	0,44	<b>6,38</b>
Rehabilitation of damages in agrotourism			1,74			0,05	<b>1,79</b>
Infrastructure							
Restoration of road infrastructure surveillance systems/management of water related extreme phenomena due to fires	2,34	1,01	29,93	8,21	1,76	1,99	<b>45,24</b>
Stations for forecasting fire events	0,55	0,36	0,45	0,36	0,34	0,46	<b>2,52</b>
Total							
	61,6	17,5	307,1	94,5	56,3	59,8	<b>596,8</b>
Horizontal Action 1: Direct operational plan for olive productions							<b>0,3</b>
Horizontal Action 2: Completed program for development of vineyards and restoration of damaged vineyards							<b>0,58</b>
Grand Total of Program's Budget							<b>597,68</b>

## Conclusions

The Greek summer of 2007 was the hottest the country had experienced in more than one century. The country was hit by three consecutive heat waves (46°C) that along with the strong winds and the low relative humidity (9%) resulted in forest fires breaking out. The region of Peloponnesus in the southern part of the country was mostly affected, especially during the last days of August. The toll of human lives, natural disaster and infrastructure damage was heavy during the time of the event, with its severity peaking between the 24<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of August. During that period, specific measures were taken from all respective actors in an effort to mitigate the impacts of the fires. In general, the authorities were found to be unprepared for an event of such scale, as manifested in the lack of coordination and organization during the first days. As a result, inhabited locations were ordered to evacuate despite what was eventually proven to have been needed. It was often the case that evacuation orders were given to villages independent of whether the area was in danger or not. This significantly reduced the potential contribution of local volunteers in the fires suppression efforts. Although both national and local plans were developed beforehand in case of extreme weather emergencies, they were only partially followed. Consequently, the well-being of evacuees was seriously endangered, since the latter would choose routes that were later proven to be unsafe. Furthermore, people who could have assisted in facing the increasing fire fronts throughout the event's propagation were left unused and thus deprived the fire fighters of an additional force. This point gains significantly in importance and criticality taking into consideration that the volunteer participation proved to be decisive in the suppression of the fires.

This paper has presented a detailed overview of the 2007 wildfires in Peloponnesus, both in terms of the event's temporal and spatial propagation, description and impacts in various sectors, as well as the emergency management and adaptation measures that followed.

There seems to be room for potential improvement in all respective aspects of wildfire management (prevention, suppression, restoration). With regard to the aspect of fire prevention, a series of measures have already been taken in terms of educational programs and technical assistance of the fire-fighting crew from international parties. In addition, the national and local civil protection plans have

been revised and updated since the events, as their severity motivated both the authorities and the public. More specifically, evacuation and action plans have been developed that explicitly include the allocation of shelter zones and centres that can accommodate civilians during emergency situations. Furthermore, they deal with the designation of the safest possible evacuation routes in order to ensure evacuees' secure distribution to the predefined areas.

The fire events of summer 2007 in Greece highlighted the lack of cost estimation tools for assessing the impacts of such extreme phenomena; such tools could identify which adaptation measures are needed for each specific case and assess their respective cost. Finally, with climate change being as prevalent as ever, similar events are inevitably to be observed in the future. A risk analysis study at a national level for vulnerable and sensitive areas is therefore necessary, in order to define the measures that have to be taken prior to the occurrence of adverse events and allocate the respective budget.

## References

Agricultural University of Athens (2007) Rehabilitation and development study for the agricultural sector, the forests and the environmental protection of the 2007 fire stricken

Bank of Greece (2011) Environmental, financial and social impacts of climate change in Greece. Report of the Climate Change Impact Assessment Committee

Easterling D., Meehl G., Parmesan C., Changnon S., Karl T., Mearns L. (2000) *Science* 22 September 2000: Vol. 289 no. 5487 pp. 2068-2074, DOI: 10.1126/science.289.5487.2068

European Forest Fire Information System (2007) Forest fires in Europe, Report No 8, JRC Scientific and Technical Reports

European Space Agency (2007) Greece suffers more fires in 2007 than in last decade, satellites reveal. [http://www.esa.int/esaCP/SEMMGZLPQ5F\\_index\\_0.html](http://www.esa.int/esaCP/SEMMGZLPQ5F_index_0.html). Accessed 31 January 2013

Friedrich M. (1999) A multi-modal transport model for integrated planning H. Meersman, E. Van de Voorde, W. Winkelmanns (Eds.), *Selected Proceedings from the Eighth World Conference on Transport Research*, Vol. 2, Elsevier, Antwerp, Belgium (1999)

Friedrich M., Galster M. (2009) Methods for generating connectors in transport planning models, TRB Annual Meeting 2009, Washington D.C.

General Secretariat of Civil Protection (2007) Citizen protection guidelines from Forest Fires. [http://www.civilprotection.gr/index\\_gr.php?nodeid=23](http://www.civilprotection.gr/index_gr.php?nodeid=23). Accessed 20 December 2012

General Secretariat of Civil Protection (GSVP), General Plan for facing emergency situations because of forest fires (2011)  
[http://www.gscp.gr/ggpp/cms\\_files/dynamic/c190359/file/Sxedio%20Dasikon%20Purkagion%202011\\_el\\_GR.pdf](http://www.gscp.gr/ggpp/cms_files/dynamic/c190359/file/Sxedio%20Dasikon%20Purkagion%202011_el_GR.pdf). Accessed 10 November 2012

Gentile G., Noekel K. (2009) Linear User Cost Equilibrium: the new algorithm for traffic assignment in VISUM. Proceedings of European Transport Conference 2009, Leeuwenhorst Conference Centre, Netherlands.

Georgopoulou T. (2007) How will the forests be rescued from Megafires: Conclusions and recommendations from a group of experts. [http://news.kathimerini.gr/4dcgi/\\_w\\_articles\\_ell\\_458570\\_11/09/2007\\_240759](http://news.kathimerini.gr/4dcgi/_w_articles_ell_458570_11/09/2007_240759). Accessed 19 December 2012

G Giannopoulos, E Gagatsi, E Mitsakis, JM Salanova (2011) Risks and impacts of climate change on the transport sector (Chapter 2.9) in “Environmental, financial and social impacts of climate change in Greece. Report of the Climate Change Impact Assessment Committee”. Bank of Greece 2011

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2007a), “Climate change 2007: The Physical Science Basis”, in Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor, H.L. Miller (eds), Contribution of Working Group II to the 4<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 996.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2007b), “Climate change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability”, in Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor, H.L. Miller (eds), Contribution of Working Group II to the 4<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York.

Isokratis - Database for Legal Information (2003) General Civil Protection Plan –Xenokratis. [http://www.dsnet.gr/Epikairothta/Nomothesia/ya1299\\_2003.htm](http://www.dsnet.gr/Epikairothta/Nomothesia/ya1299_2003.htm). Accessed 19 December 2012

Japan Consortium for International Cooperation in Cultural Heritage (2010) Research Report on International Cooperation in the Recovery Process of Disaster-affected Cultural Heritage

Kapakis I. (2009) Forest fires in Greece. *Crisis Response Journal World Wide* 4:20-21.

Koetse, M.J., Piet Rietveld (2009), The impact of climate change and weather on transport: An overview of empirical findings *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, Volume 14, Issue 3, May 2009, Pages 205–221

Maurer H., Rudzikaite L., Partzsch J.K. , Pelikan V., Sedlacek, N., Mitsakis E., Stamos I., Papanikolaou A., Celano M., Mezzasalma P., Pavnan V., Alberoni P.P., Genovese E., Przulski V., Hallegate S. (2012) WEATHER Case studies – Synthesis Report (funded under the 7<sup>th</sup> framework programme of the European Commission)

Meehl, A., Thomas Karlb, David R. Easterlingb, Stanley Changnonc, Roger Pielke Jr.a, David Changnond, Jenni Evanse, Pavel Ya Groismanb, Thomas R. Knutsonf, Kenneth E. Kunkelc, Linda O. Mearnsa, Camille Parmesang, Roger Pulwartyh, Terry Rooti, Richard T. Sylvesj, Peter Whettonk, and Francis Zwiersl, 2000: An Introduction to Trends in Extreme Weather and Climate Events: Observations, Socioeconomic Impacts, Terrestrial Ecological Impacts, and Model Projections\*. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 81, 413–416.

doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(2000\)081<0413:AITTIE>2.3.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(2000)081<0413:AITTIE>2.3.CO;2)

Michaletos I. (2007) Summer 2007: Result assessment of the damaging fires. [http://www.greekamericannewsagency.com/main/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=3096&catid=1:2010-01-19-17-32-13&Itemid=7](http://www.greekamericannewsagency.com/main/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=3096&catid=1:2010-01-19-17-32-13&Itemid=7). Accessed 30 November 2012

Ministry of Public Administrative Reform and e-Government, Transparency and Openness Policies of the Greek Government (MPAReG) (2011) Prohibition of traffic circulation and travellers' remaining in national parks, forest and endangered areas, <http://et.diavgeia.gov.gr/f/perifereiapelonnisou/ada/4%CE%91%CE%A3%CE%9F7%CE%9B1-9%CE%9F%CE%A1>. Accessed 13 December 2012

Mitsakis E., Papanikolaou A., Ayfadopoulou G., Salanova J., Doll C., Giannopoulos G., Zerefos C. (2013) An integrated framework for linking climate change impacts to emergency adaptation strategies for transport networks, *European Transport Research Review*, Springer, DOI 10.1007/s12544-013-0114-0

Monirul M., Mirza Q. “Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events: can developing countries adapt?” in *Climate Polic*, Volume 3, Issue 3, 2003.

A. Nagurney, Q. Qiang, (2008) A network efficiency measure with application to critical infrastructure networks. *Journal of Global Optimization* 40:261–275.

Nodaros M. (2007) 10 trial records under review.

[http://www.protinews.gr/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=8904&Itemid=36](http://www.protinews.gr/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=8904&Itemid=36).  
Accessed 23 October 2012

Nodaros M., (2007) The Trial for Ileia's killing fires in 2007.  
<http://mnodaros.blogspot.com/2011/10/2007.html>. Accessed 23 October 2012

Papanikolaou V, Leon GR, Kyriopoulos J, Levett J, Pallis E. (2011) Surveying the ashes: experience from the 2007 Peloponnese wildfires six months after the disaster. US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Prehosp. Disaster Med. 26(2):79-89, doi: 10.1017/S1049023X11000094.

Rosinowski J., (1994) Entwicklung und Implementierung eines ÖPNV-Matrixkorrekturverfahrens mit Hilfe von Methoden der Theorie unscharfer Mengen (Fuzzy-Sets-Theorie), Master thesis, University of Karlsruhe.

Rosenzweig C., Iglesias A., Yang X.B., Epstein P., Chivian E. "Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events; Implications for food production, plant diseases, and pests", Global Change and Human Health, 2001, Volume 2, Issue 2, pp. 90-104.

Statheropoulos M. (2008) A data file of the big forest fires in Greece during summer 2007 – how early warning systems can be used as a shield of protection from forest fire smoke impacts. Athens Summit, Global Climate and Energy Security

Tatoulis P. (2011) The first open consultation on tourism. <http://astrosnews.gr/?p=7313>. Accessed 13 November 2012

The Economist (2007) Burning forests: Fighting Greek fire.  
[http://www.economist.com/world/europe/displaystory.cfm?story\\_id=9718557](http://www.economist.com/world/europe/displaystory.cfm?story_id=9718557). Accessed 20 December 2012

TRANSTOOLS (2005), Tools for Transport forecasting and Scenario testing, FP6 funded project 2004-2006.

United States Agency International Development (2007) Annual report for fiscal year. Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance

Wardrop J.G. (1952) Some theoretical aspects of road traffic research, Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers, Part II, 325–378.

WWF (2007) Ecological assessment of the wildfires of August 2007 in the Peloponnese, Greece. [http://assets.panda.org/downloads/fire\\_report\\_\\_\\_peloponnisos\\_en\\_1\\_.pdf](http://assets.panda.org/downloads/fire_report___peloponnisos_en_1_.pdf). Accessed 30 September 2012

XanthopoulosG. (2007) Forest fire related deaths in Greece: confirming what we already know. [http://www.fire.unifreiburg.de/sevilla2007/contributions/doc/SESIONES\\_TEMATICAS/ST6/xanthopoulos2\\_GRECIA.pdf](http://www.fire.unifreiburg.de/sevilla2007/contributions/doc/SESIONES_TEMATICAS/ST6/xanthopoulos2_GRECIA.pdf). Accessed 12 January 2013

Xanthopoulos G. (2011) Olympic Flames: Greece Suffers Record Losses, Fatalities in 2007. [http://wildfiremag.com/mag/olympic\\_flames](http://wildfiremag.com/mag/olympic_flames). Accessed on 21 November 2012

Zirogiannis N. (2009) Wildfire prevention and mitigation: the case of southern Greece,. Dissertation, University of Massachusetts Amherst